CLERK: Mr. President, a motion offered by Senator Marvel as Chairman of the Executive Board will be printed in the Legislative Journal in full regarding this Friday and Monday. Mr. President, new resolution, LR 199, introduced by Senator Cope and Senator Kahle. (Read LR 199 found on pages 1415 and 1416 of the Journal.) That will properly be laid over, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: L5 701.

CLERK: Mr. President, there are E & R amendments to LB 701.

PRESIDENT: Senator Sam. 701.

SEMATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I move the E & R amendments to LB 701 be adopted.

PRESIDENT: All in favor say aye. Contrary say nay. They are adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Barnett now moves to amend LB 701 and his amendents may be found on page 190; of the Legislative Journal.

SENATOR BARNETT: What page was that, Pat?

CLERK: 1201.

SENATOR BARNETT: Is that the medical health purposes?

CLERK: Non-medical or health purposes. Strike beginning with the word the in line 26 through gratification in line 27 and insert nonmedical or nonhealth purposes.

SENATOR BARNETT: Give me that page again, please.

CLERK: The amendment is on page 1210.

SENATOR BARNETT: John, that thing should say for medical or health purposes. It shouldn't have 'non' in front of it, should it? DeCamp. Help. Help. Shouldn't it say health or medical? It shouldn't have 'non'. It shouldn't have the work 'non' in there. I think that the amendment is printed wrong, but I want to stay on this if I can for just a... It's on page two of the bill and I'd move for the adoption of... I'm going to make the amendment correct if I can. I think the definition and, Senator DeCamp, if you'll follow me on this, you go down to line 26 it comes out to say, if you start on line 23 under penetration, the definition that says that the actor's body or any object manipulated by the actor into the genital or anal openings of the victim's body which can be reasonably construed as being for nonmedical or nonhealth. Shouldn't that just say medical or health? It's correct the way it is? I'm asking Senator DeCamp a question.

SENATOR DE CAMP: No, it's okay.

SENATOR BARNETT: Okay.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Let me explain it. The way you had it originally, you were saying, well, it's okay.

SENATOR BARNETT: It does what you have had a consern about?